ABSTRACT

Introduction: Coronal fracture of anterior teeth is an important topic for esthetic dentistry. Such fractures may jeopardize esthetics, function, tissue biology and occlusal physiology, thus endangering tooth vitality and integrity. Coronal fractures resulting from dental trauma most frequently occur to the maxillary anterior teeth of adolescents and less frequently to mandibular teeth. Adult teeth may also suffer traumatic fracture, although less frequently than for adolescents.

Case Report: In our case, an economical and time-saving novel technique has been described for direct composite restoration in a young patient with uncomplicated fractured maxillary anterior tooth.

Conclusion: As restoring a fractured tooth is a complex procedure, this technique can prove as a simple, effective and appropriate technique that will fulfill all the requirements of dental personnel. This technique can also prove to be easy for inexperienced beginner clinicians without requiring special skills in providing the patients with direct composite restorations.
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INTRODUCTION

Oral injuries are the fourth most common area of personal injuries among the age group of 7–30 years old. Traumatic dental injuries have become an important health problem not only because of the relatively high prevalence but also due to the fact of the large impact caused to an individual’s daily life. Traumatic dental injuries constitute as one of the leading reason for odontological emergencies. The incidences of these injuries have increased during the past 10–20 years and a recent study have indicated that the number of the traumatic injuries incidences will exceed dental caries and periodontal diseases. Many authors have suggested that the permanent tooth fracture is a tragic experience for both child and parents. This condition was caused by the psychological discomfort, pain, loss of function, and poor aesthetics.[1,2,3]

The injured person and community with such injuries can cause expensive costs. Dentists can make a better assessment and carry out the effective treatment with the knowledge of potential prognosis of various treatment modalities. Crown fractures deserve a special attention due to their prevalence, variety of causative factors, and the diversity of clinical solutions proposed for the treatment, besides of the complicated and tricky restorations.[2,3]

There are many publications discussed the dental trauma of primary and permanent dentition. Andreason has conducted one of the most complex survey of this subject involving epidemiological, statistical, and diagnostic and treatment data from several countries, to evaluate the occurrence of traumatic dental injuries and their various etiological factors in relation to the age and gender of the patient, type and number of teeth involved, and the pattern of tooth fracture.[1,4]. The worldwide prevalence of traumatic dental injuries ranges between 6%-37% [2,3,4]. Seasonal variations in the prevalence of trauma have also been reported [5,6,7]. Dental trauma (DT)of the incisors and their supporting tissues, which is one of the most challenging dental emergency situations, requires immediate assessment and management due to psychological and physical reasons [4,7]. This is especially important for young permanent teeth because of continuing development in order to minimize undesired complications. [5,6,7]
The treatment of dental trauma is sometimes neglected although it might lead to pain, difficulty in articulation and mastication as well as having considerable negative effects on patient’s self-esteem [8,9]. However, aesthetics of the anterior teeth are very important aspects of human appearance and could be affected by many factors including the presence of fillings, tooth color, position, alignment, shape and number [2,3,4]. Crown fractures have been documented to account for up to 92% of all traumatic injuries to the permanent dentition. [1,7]

Dental trauma often has a severe impact on the social and psychological well-being of a patient. [2] Coronal fractures of permanent incisors represent 18-22% of all trauma to dental hard tissues, 28-44% being simple (enamel and dentin) and 11-15% complex (enamel, dentin and pulp). Of these 96% involve maxillary central incisors. [4] Traumatized anterior teeth require quick functional and aesthetic repair. [3,7] The presence of fracture of anterior tooth severely compromises the aesthetic value of the patient.

A complete understanding of the desire of the patient is absolutely critical for success. The repair of tooth fracture with the help of crown and bridge requires high financial expenses, is more time consuming, needs multiple appointment therapy and is a less conservative approach. In the treatment plan the initial option considered should be the most conservative one that will achieve all the desired objectives of both the patient as well as the dentist. [9,10,11] Direct composite restoration technique is minimally invasive, economical and successful in repairing tooth fracture with excellent longevity in carefully selected cases and with superior matching ability. [3,4,6,7,10].

**Case Presentation**

A 12-year-old boy was reported to our Paediatric Dental clinic for the treatment of fractured upper front teeth with esthetic concern. Patient gave history of trauma 6 months back due to fall from a bicycle. Clinical examination revealed Ellis class II (uncomplicated) fracture in relation to 11 and 21. The tooth was asymptomatic without any associated soft or hard tissue injuries to the supporting tissue. Intraoral periapical radiograph confirms the absence of pulpal or periapical pathosis. Therefore, a direct composite restoration technique was planned for restoration of the fractured segment. Figure-2.

The unsupported enamel was removed via 45 degree bevel. During the intraoral examination, the fracture zone in both maxillary central incisors was classified as Class IV. In the cervical area of the facial surface, there was intact enamel beginning from the gingival margin and extending coronally 0.5 mm in tooth 11 and 1.5 mm in tooth 21 Figure-3. The patient’s oral hygiene level was scored as 0 according to an oral hygiene index, and the patient had a type I occlusion with no parafunctional movements. Both of the fractured anterior teeth were asymptomatic and responded within normal limits to cold and electric pulp tests. No periapical disease or root fracture was diagnosed during radiographic examination.

The teeth were restored using a two-step self-etch adhesive system. The treatment procedure was performed as follows: a bevel was placed on the whole facial surface, beginning from the gingival margin, to allow for a gradual increase in the thickness of the resin composite. Incisal edges and corners were rounded and the bevel was extended to a 1 mm periphery on the palatal surface. A diamond bur was utilized on the enamel surface while the dentin was cleaned with tungsten carbide burs. The remaining enamel and dentin surfaces were irregular and scalloped. The enamel was etched with 37% phosphoric for 15 seconds and rinsed thoroughly with water. Excess water was removed with an air syringe. Figure-4.

The teeth were restored using a two-step self-etch adhesive system. The primer was applied to the cavity and gently dried with an air syringe for five seconds. Figure-5.
Discussion

Dental fractures consist of aesthetic emergencies that can cause physical and psychosocial discomfort for patients [1, 9,11] and should, whenever possible, be solved in a single session. For these cases, the restorative technique is relatively complex and requires great professional skill, so that the simplification of the techniques is highly desirable. [11,12] The immediate restoration without obtaining a dental cast for waxing-up, the beginning of the restoration should simulate the condition provided in cases where there is a pre-waxing, i.e., the construction of the palatine surface.[ 13,14, 15, 16]

This procedure must be performed with the digital technique, which the convexity of the palatine surface is simulated with the interposition of a polyester or Teflon strip or with the aid of the forefinger.[2,3,4,14] Care must be taken during this step to maintain the correct anatomy of the palatal surface and especially its inclination to provide the adequate space for the stratification of composite resin layers for dentin and then enamel.[2,3] From the preparation of this surface, preferably with a more translucent or enamel resin, the restorative protocol becomes simpler, similar to a veneer that is, laminating resins with different opacities, mimicking the adjacent teeth, so that the restauration becomes indistinguishable.[4,5,6]

When a fracture creates a need for restoration, if there is no carious or pulpal involvement, a bevel offers the only preparation necessary. A bevel preparation offers a well defined marginal area for ease of finishing and reduced risk of having “white lines” at the margins. [2,17] A bevel preparation also improves the etching pattern, causing transverse exposure of enamel prisms and increasing the area available for acid etching. [18] The exposure of the subsurface enamel layer is favorable to adhesion, possibly resulting in increased bond strength for the restoration and a better marginal seal. In the current case, the surface left after reduction was irregular, allowing for the restorative material to blend harmoniously with the tooth for esthetic reasons.[2,3,4]

On the palatal surface, the bevel was extended no more than 1mm, as esthetic requirements are less important in this aspect and further extention has been shown to provide no additional strength. The incisal edge was wrapped palatally. The practitioner should be sure that the resin composite has enough thickness facio-palatally.[3,19,20]

A microhybrid resin composite was selected because of having superior polishability due to a smaller particle size. A second polishing procedure was performed at the one-week recall, as most water sorption has been reported to occur during the first week. Another advantage of this procedure was to reduce chair time during the first visit. [2,21,22]
The finishing and polishing process can affect many aspects of the final restoration, including surface staining, plaque accumulation and wear characteristics of the resin composite. Therefore, finishing and polishing procedures are of primary importance in terms of esthetics and clinical success of the restoration.[2,3,4,14,15] Figure-7, Figure-8.

The composite resin restoration of permanent incisors with crown fractures is a simple procedure that should be planned and executed with attention to dental contours and convexities, facilitating the reestablishment of function and aesthetics. Today for restorations on anterior teeth, the professional must learn the rules of aesthetics of natural teeth for the use of these materials. Treating that natural teeth are polychromatic, while composite resins are monochromatic. Given the existence of a wide variety of resins and technical possibilities, the following text proposes a clinical sequence of reconstruction of anterior teeth with compromised incisal angle due to fracture. The stratification with composite resin favors the naturalness so desired by the patient because the invisibility of the restoration is achieved, leaving the smile more harmonious and beautiful, which certainly improves self-esteem. With the evolution of adhesive dentistry, it is possible to perform aesthetic procedures with greater longevity and naturalness already mentioned.
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